Sébastien Anguille¹, Xavier Leleu², Moshe Gatt^a, Lionel Karlin⁴, Andrew Spencer⁴, Shinsuke lida⁴, Tadao Ishida⁷, Yi Lin⁴, Chang-Ki Min⁴, Seok Jin Kim¹9, Ana Skughter¹, Carolina Lorardi¹², Nina Benachour¹³, Martin Vogel¹⁴, Vicki Piaks¹⁵, Katherine Li¹⁴, Diana Chen¹⁷, Quarlin Li¹⁸, Tamar Lengl¹¹⁸, Myfhil Koneru²⁰, Nin Patel²⁰, Octavio Costa Filho²⁰, Simon J. Harrison²¹⁻²³, Jesús San-Miguel²⁴, rmann Einsele²

¹Vacoine and Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Center for Cell Therapy and Regenerative Medicire, Antwerp University Hospital, Edgern, Belgium; CFLP Orbites, Potites, France; "Hadassa'h Medical Center, Facutty of Medicine, Hebr ew University of Jeusalem, Jeusalem, Israet, "Centre Hospitaler Lyon Sud, Parene Bante, France; "Alfred Health-Monash University, Melbourne, VC, Australia; "Nagoya City University Institute of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan; "Japanese Red Cross Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan; "Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; "Scoul St. Mary's Hospital. The Carholic University of Korea; Secul, South Korea; "Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Scoul, Korea; "IClag GmtH International, Zug, Switzerland, "Janssen, Buenos Aires, Agertina; "Janssen Research & Development, Beerse, Belgium; "Jansen Research & Development, Research & Development, Shring House, PA, USA; "Janssen Research & Development, Apex, NC, USA; "Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raintan, NJ, USA; "Legend Biotech USA Inc.; Somerset NJ, USA; "Jensen Research & Development, Apex, NC, USA; "Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raintan, NJ, USA; "Legend Biotech USA Inc.; Somerset NJ, USA; "Janssen Research & Development, Apex, NC, USA; "Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raintan, NJ, USA; "Legend Biotech USA Inc.; Somerset NJ, USA; "Janssen Research & Development, Apex, NC, USA; "Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raintan, NJ, USA; "Legend Biotech USA Inc.; Somerset NJ, USA; "Janssen Research & Development, Apex, NC, USA; "Janssen Global Services, LLC, Raintan, NJ, USA; "Legend Biotech USA Inc.; Somerset NJ, USA; "Janssen Research Biotech USA; Inc.; Melbourne, Australia; "Raya Agei Melbourne, Maccialum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia; "Angei Melbourne, Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; Vaccine and Infectious Disease Institute, University of Antwerp, Center for Melbourne, Australia; ²²Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Australia ²³University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia; ²⁴Cancer Center Clinica tralia: Universidad Navarra, Pamptona, Spain, CIMA, DISNA; 25Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik II, Würzburg, Germany

Key Takeaway



P-005

In CARTITUDE-4, an improved response to bridging therapy correlates with longer PFS, highlighting the importance of optimizing bridging therapy for effective disease control prior to administering cilta-cel

Conclusions



In patients who received cilta-cel treatment, a ≥25% tumor burden reduction following bridging therapy correlated with longer PFS



The correlation between tumor burden reduction and PFS may be explained mechanistically by a higher in vivo E:T ratio (calculated by the ratio peak CAR-T expansion and preinfusion sBCMA), which was previously shown to be associated with longer PFS with cilta-cel²

Introduction

- In the phase 3 CARTITUDE-4 trial, patients receiving ciltacabtagene autoleucel (cilta-cel) without pre-infusion disease progression showed high ORR (99.4%), ≥CR (86.4%), and 12-month PFS rate (89.7%^a)¹
- Bridging therapy helps control disease during manufacturing, potentially reducing the risk of toxicities by debulking
- The impact of disease control prior to CAR-T infusion on post-infusion outcomes is not well established
- Post hoc analyses of cilta-cel efficacy by response to bridging therapy in patients who received cilta-cel as study treatment in CARTITUDE-4 are presented

Key inclusion criteria:

ECOGPS ≤1

Key exclusion criteria:

Prior CAR-T or

Age ≥18 years with MM

Lenalidomide refractory

BCMA-targeting the rapy

Figure 1: CARTITUDE-4 study design

Screening

1-3 prior LOT (including PI + IMiD)

Methods

- Patients in the cilta-cel arm underwent apheresis and bridging therapy followed by a single cilta-cel infusion 5-7 days after the start of lymphodepletion (Figure 1)
 - Bridging therapy was physicians' choice of either PVd or DPd
- PFS was measured from randomization and analyzed in patients with a ≥25% tumor burden reduction from baseline to the start of lymphodepletion vs <25% (tumor burden either increased, no change, or <25% reduction)^b. Tumor burden change was measured by determining the difference between paraprotein at baseline and at lymphodepletion for each patient
- In vivo effector-to-target (E:T) ratio was derived by peak CAR-T cell expansion (assessed by flow cytometry) normalized to pre-infusion serum soluble B-cell maturation antigen (sBCMA) levels

b2 patients were not evaluable



"Physicians' choice. "Administered until disease progression. BCMA, Boell maturation antigen; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; DPd, dartumumab, pomalidomide, and dexamethasone; ECOG PS, Eastern Coor IMD, immunomodulatory drug; ISS, International Staging System; LOT, line of therapy; PL proteasome inhibitor; PVd pomalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone; SOC, standard of care.

Results

Patients

- A total of 176 patients received cilta-cel as study treatment, and among these, 158 received DPd bridging therapy and 18 received PVd
- During the bridging period, 148 (84%) had a ≥25% tumor burden reduction, while 28 (16%) had <25% decrease
- The group with ≥25% decrease had a higher proportion of patients with International Staging System (ISS) Stage I disease (70.9% vs 57.1%) and fewer patients with ≥60% plasma cells (15.6% vs 35.7%) compared with the <25% decrease group, respectively (Table)

Table: Baseline disease characteristics of patients who received ciltacel as study treatment (N=176; ≥25% decrease N=148, <25% decrease, N=28)

Basel ine character istic	Tumor burden decrease≥25% (N=148)	Tumor burden decrease <25% (N=28)
ISS stage, n (%)		.0.
I	105 (70.9)	16 (57.1)
П	37 (25.0)	8 (28.6)
III	6 (4.1)	4 (14.3)
Years since diagnosis, median (range)	3.4 (0.3-18.1)	3.2 (0.3-12.1)
Presenœ of soft tissue plasmacytomas, n (%)	25 (16.9)	5 (17.9)
≥60 % plasma cellsª, bone marro w or aspirate, n (%)	23 (15.6)	10 (35.7)
Cytogenetic risk,ª n (%)		
Standard risk	50 (34.0)	9 (32.1)
High risk	88 (59.9)	17 (60.7)

Cilta-cel efficacy by response to bridging therapy

- At 15.9-month median follow-up, median PFS was not reached (95% CI, not estimable [NE]–NE) in patients with \geq 25% decrease vs 19.2 months (95% CI,15.8–NE) in the <25%
- decrease group (HR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.16-0.66) (Figure 2)

Biomarker correlates of response to bridging therapy and post cilta-cel outcomes

- In patients with available biomarker data, those with a ≥25% decrease in tumor burden had a comparable CAR-T peak expansion in the blood (Cmax), lower sBCMA levels pre-infusion, and hence a significantly higher in vivo E:T ratio vs the <25% decrease group (Figure 3)
- Lower pre-infusion sBCMA levels were observed in patients with greater tumor burden reduction, but no difference in C_{max} was observed
- The in vivo E:T ratio, adjusted for baseline tumor burden variations, was higher in patients with effective bridging therapy

Figure 3: C_{max} (A), sBCMA (B), and E:T ratio (C) by response to bridging therapy in patients with ≥25% tumor reduction



Patients with higher E:T ratios demonstrated improved PFS (Figure 4)

- In vivo E:T ratio has previously been shown to have a strong correlation with PFS in cilta-cel-treated patients.² In vivo E:T ratio is defined by the ratio of C_{max} to tumor burden (pre-infusion sBCMA)
- Our data show that $C_{\mbox{\scriptsize max}}$ is similar in both higher and lower tumor burden subgroups, hence the key driver of association to higher PFS probability is reduced tumor burden at baseline, estimated by pre-infusion sBCMA

Figure 4: PFS by E:T ratio (C_{max}/sBCMA after bridging therapy and prior to cilta-cel infusion)



These findings support the benefits

of effective bridging therapy; additional research is needed to further optimize bridging strategies to maximize patient outcomes



Poster

shub.com/Oncology/IMS2024/Cilta-cel/Anguille

The QR code is interded to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

Estimated 15-month PFS rates were 87.5% and 74.0% respectively

Higher than median	86	85	11	0
Lower than median	85	73	12	0

Figure 2: PFS by Tumor burden change of ≥25% between baseline and start of lymphodepletion



References

1. San-Miguel J, et al. N Engl J Med 2023;389:335-47. 2. Montes de Oca R, et al. Presented at ASH; December 9–12, 2023; San Diego, CA, USA. Poster #2099

Multiple Myeloma



Presented by S Anguille at the 21st International Myeloma Society (IMS) Annual Meeting; September 25-28, 2024; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil