Results From Safety Run-In Cohort 1 of the Phase 3 MajesTEC-7 Study in Patients With Transplant Ineligible/Not Intended Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma

Cyrille Touzeau¹, Meral Beksac², Evangelos Terpos³, Saad Z Usmani⁴, Amrita Y Krishnan⁵, Inger S Nijhof⁶, Wojciech Janowski⁷, Cyrille Hulin⁸, Sebastian Grosicki⁹, Michel Delforge¹⁰, Dana McAleer¹¹, Sarah Nagle¹¹, Sarah Broskin¹¹, Yunsi Olyslager¹², Jonathan Miller¹³, Zoe Craig¹², Josephine Khan¹⁴, Tobias Kampfenkel¹⁵, Salomon Manier¹⁶, Niels WCJ van de Donk¹⁷

¹Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, Nantes, France; ²Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey; ³University of Athens, School of Medicine, Athens, Greece; ⁴Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁵City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Duarte, CA, USA; ⁶St Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein, Nieuwegein, Netherlands; ⁷Calvary Mater Newcastle, Waratah, New South Wales, Australia; ⁸Hôpital Haut Leveque, University Hospital, Pessac, France; ⁹Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; ¹⁰University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ¹¹Janssen Research & Development, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Janssen Research & Development, Beerse, Belgium; ¹³Janssen Research & Development, USA; ¹⁴Janssen Research & Development, High Wycombe, UK; ¹⁵Janssen Research & Development, Neuss, Germany; ¹⁶University of Lille, CHU Lille, France; ¹⁷Amsterdam University Medical Center, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Netherlands

Presented by S Manier at the 2024 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting; May 31–June 4, 2024; Chicago, IL, USA &

Virtual

https://www.congresshub.com/Oncology/ ASCO2024/teclistamab/Manier

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.



MajesTEC-7 Safety Run-In (SRI): Takeaway Messages

- Tec-DR demonstrates a manageable safety profile and promising efficacy in patients with NDMM who are transplant ineligible/not intended for ASCT at median follow-up >1 year from safety run-in cohort 1 of the phase 3 MajesTEC-7 study
- Rates of discontinuation were low, infections were common, and all CRS events were grade 1/2 with no new safety signals observed compared with each of the monotherapies^{1,2}
- Almost all patients responded (92.3%), with >80% achieving ≥CR and no disease progressions; most patients remained on treatment as of clinical cut-off
- A debulking strategy to reduce CRS with 1 lead-in^a cycle of DRd was employed in SRI cohorts 2 and 3, and resulted in a suboptimal safety profile; the randomized part of MajesTEC-7 is moving forward without use of a DRd lead-in^a cycle

^aDRd lead-in (dara SC 1800 mg QW; len oral 25 mg on days 1–21; dex oral/IV 20 mg QW) in cycle 1; Tec-DR or Tal-DR started in cycle 2. ASCT, a utologous stem cell transplant; CR, complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; dara, daratumumab; dex, dexame thasone; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexame thasone; IV, intravenous; len, lenalidomide; NDMM, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; QW, weekly; SC, subcutaneous; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talqueta mab; tec, teclista mab. 1. Moreau P, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;387:495-505. 2. Schinke C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2023. Poster #8036.



MajesTEC-7: Background

- DRd was established by the MAIA study as the SOC in patients with transplant ineligible NDMM, with significant PFS and OS benefits¹
 - However, many patients eventually relapse, highlighting a need for new frontline treatment options to improve patient outcomes²
- Teclistamab demonstrated deep and durable responses, with manageable safety in a late line setting and potential for improved outcomes in earlier LOT, in the phase 1/2 MajesTEC-1 study^{3–5}
- The fully immune-based triplet of tec-DR showed promising early activity in patients with 1–3 prior LOT in the phase 1b MajesTEC-2 study, with no new safety signals observed vs each of the monotherapies⁶
- MajesTEC-7^a is a phase 3 study exploring tec-DR and tal-DR vs DRd in patients with NDMM who are ineligible/not intended for ASCT; here, we present initial results from SRI cohort 1 (tec-DR)

^aNCT05552222.

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; dara, daratumumab; dex, dexamethasone; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone; LOT, line of therapy; NDMM, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; OS, overall sur vival; F progression-free survival; SOC, standard of care; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talquetamab; tec, teclistamab.

1. Facon T, et al. Lancet Oncol 2021;22:1582-96.2. Lemieux C, et al. Bone Marrow Transplant 2021;56:368-75.3. Moreau P, et al. N Engl J Med 2022;387:495-505.4. van de Donk NWCJ, et al. J Clin Oncol 2023;41(suppl 16):8011. 5. van de Donk NWCJ, et al. Presented at HEMO 2023. Poster #403. 6. Searle E, et al. Presented at ASH 2022. Oral #160.



MajesTEC-7: SRI Cohorts Inform Phase 3 Design





^aSRI cohort 2 and SRI cohort 3 required an International Myeloma Working Group frailty score <2 (except when score is due to age a lone). ^bDRd lead-in (dara SC 1800 mg QW; len oral 25 mg on days 1–21; dex oral/IV 20 mg QW) in cycle 1; Tec-DR or Tal-DR started in cycle 2. ^c0.06 and 0.3 mg/kg step-up doses on days 2 and 4 followed by treatment doses (1.5 mg/kg) on days 8, 15, and 22.

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; CR, complete response; D, daratumumab; dara, daratumumab; dex, dexamethasone; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone; ECOG PS, Eastem Cooperative Oncology Grouperformance status; IV, intravenous; len, lenalidomide; mFU, median follow-up; mo, months; MRD, minimal residual disease; neg, negative; NDMM, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PF progression-free survival as time from randomization to first PFS event on first subsequent line of therapy; PK, pharmacokinetics; PRO, patient-reported outcome; Q2W, every other week; Q4W; every 4 weeks; QW, weekly; SC, subcutaneous; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talquetamab; tec, teclistamab.



MajesTEC-7: SRI Cohorts 2 and 3 With DRd Lead-In

	mFU mo (range)	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3+
SRI cohort 2: Tec-DR N=23	3.7 (1.7–4.9)	DRd lead-in ^a	Tec step-up + DR	Tec + DR
SRI cohort 3: Tal-DR N=22	<mark>3.5</mark> (2.8–5.3)	DRd lead-in ^a	Tal step-up + DR	Tal + DR

- SRI cohorts 2 and 3, with DRd lead-in strategy for debulking, were associated with an increased incidence of neutropenia, grade 3 CRS events, and serious/fatal infections (SRI cohort 2 only)
- Hypothesized that administering lenalidomide prior to and during the bispecific step-up schedule may have increased T-cell activation and bone marrow suppression
- SRI cohort 1 with the bispecific step-up schedule prior to the first dose of lenalidomide was not associated with similar risks
- DRd lead-in^a strategy will not be adopted for the randomized phase of the study

^aDRd lead-in (dara SC 1800 mg QW; len oral 25 mg on days 1–21; dex oral/IV 20 mg QW) in cycle 1; Tec-DR or Tal-DR started in cycle 2. CRS, cytokine release syndrome; dara, daratumumab; dex, dexa methasone; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexame thasone; IV, intravenous; len, lenalidomide; mFU, median follow-up; mo, months; QW, weekl subcutaneous; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talque tamab; tec, teclistamab.



MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	SRI Cohort 1 N=26
Median age, years (range)	72.5 (66–84)
≥70	21 (80.8)
≥75	7 (26.9)
Male, n (%)	17 (65.4)
Race, n (%)	
White	21 (80.8)
Median time from diagnosis, mo (range)	1.0 (0.13–4.8)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	14 (53.8)
1	9 (34.6)
2	3 (11.5)
Soft-tissue plasmacytomas, ^a n (%)	4 (15.4)
Transplant ineligible, n (%)	22 (84.6)
IMWG frailty score, n (%)	
Fit	16 (61.5)
Intermediate 3	7 (26.9)
Frail	3 (11.5)
ISS stage, n (%)	
	2 (7.7)
	22 (84.6)
	2 (7.7)

Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

^aAll bone-related soft-tissue plasmacytomas, no extramedullary soft tissue plasmacytomas.

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; IMWG, International Myeloma Working Group; ISS, International Staging System; mo, months; SRI, safety run-in; tec, teclistamab.

MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Safety

At median follow-up of 13.8 months

- 61.5% of patients had CRS, occurring mostly in cycle 1 (all cases resolved)
 - Grade 1, 57.7%; grade 2, 3.8%
- One case of ICANS (grade 1) occurred in cycle 1 (resolved)
- 26 patients received tec-DR (median, 15 cycles [range, 2–17])
- 23/26 (88.5%) remained on treatment^d
- Median relative dose intensity^e was 97.0% (tec), 95.8% (dara), and 58.6% (len; 17 patients dose reduced)

	Safety population (N=26)		
TEAE, II (%)	Any Grade	Grade 3/4	
Any TEAE	26 (100.0)	24 (92.3)	
Hematologic AEs, n (%)	22 (84.6)	17 (65.4)	
Neutropenia	15 (57.7)	15 (57.7)	
Anemia	8 (30.8)	1 (3.8)	
Thrombocytopenia	4 (15.4)	4 (15.4)	
Febrile neutropenia	3 (11.5)	3 (11.5)	
Eosinophilia	3 (11.5)	0	
Non-hematologic AEs ^b n (%)			
Diarrhea	18 (69.2)	1 (3.8)	
CRS	16 (61.5)	0	
Cough	14 (53.8)	0	
Dysgeusia	10 (38.5)	N/A ^c	
Constipation	9 (34.6)	0	
Injection site erythema	9 (34.6)	0	
Nausea	8 (30.8)	0	
COVID-19	8 (30.8)	3 (11.5)	
Muscle spasms	8 (30.8)	0	
Bronchitis	7 (26.9)	0	
URTI	7 (26.9)	1 (3.8)	

Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

23.1% of patients had rash (1 occurred in cycle 1, 2 in cycle 2, 1 in cycle 3, and 2 in cycle 7; grade 3/4 11.5%) and 23.1% of patients had maculo-papular rash (1 occurred in cycle 1, 3 in cycle 2, 1 in cycle 3, and 1 in cycle 8; grade 3/4 11.5%). ^aAny-grade hematologic AEs in ≥10% of patients. ^bAny-grade non-hematologic AEs in ≥25% of patients. ^cMaximum CTCAE grade is 2.^d 3 patients discontinued all study treatment due to grade 5 influenza pneumonia, second primary malignancy (bladder neoplasm), and withdrawal of consent. ^cCalculated as percentage of total dose received in all relevant cycles (including step-up and repeat step-up doses) divided by the sum of planned doses in those cycle s. AE, adverse event; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; dara, daratumumab; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; ICANS, immune effector cell–associated neurotoxicity syndrome; len, lenalidomide; N/A, not applicable; SRI, safety run-in; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; tec, teclista mab; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.



MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Infection Profile

- COVID-19 was most common
- 1 death due to influenza pneumonia in cycle 3
- Hypogammaglobulinemia^b occurred in 21 (80.8%) patients; 19 of 26 (73.1%) received at least one dose of IVIG
- Infection prophylaxis per institutional guidelines
 - Prophylactic Ig replacement recommended to maintain serum IgG levels ≥400 mg/dL
 - PCP/PJP, herpes zoster reactivation, and routine antibiotic prophylaxis were recommended

TEAE, n (%)	Safety population N=26	
	Any Grade	Grade 3/4
Infections ^a	26 (100.0)	8 (30.8)
COVID-19	8 (30.8)	3 (11.5)
Bronchitis	7 (26.9)	0
URTI	7 (26.9)	1 (3.8)
Rhinitis	6 (23.1)	0
Pneumonia	3 (11.5)	1 (3.8)
Influenza pneumonia	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Pneumonia pneumococcal	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Pneumonia viral	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)
Staphylococcal sepsis	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)

Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

Vaccinations allowed per local guidelines (including annual influenza and inactivated COVID-19 vaccines). Live, attenuated vaccines were not permitted.

^aAll-grade infections in ≥20% or grade 3/4 infections in ≥1 patient. ^bIncludes patients with ≥1 treatment-emergent hypogamma globulinemia or post-baseline IgG value <500 mg/dL.

DR, da ra tumumab and le nalidomide; Ig, immunoglobulin; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; PCP, Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia; PJP, Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia; SRI, safety run-in; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; tec, teclistamab; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.



MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Cumulative Incidence of Infections

- Grade 3/4 infections occurred in 8/26 (30.8%) patients, most of which had first onset within the first 3 cycles
- Incidence of grade 3/4 infections does not increase over time with cumulative exposure to tec-DR
- IVIG supplementation and infection prophylaxis should be initiated early and maintained throughout treatment





Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

Vaccinations allowed per local guidelines (including annual influenza and inactivated COVID-19 vaccines). Live, attenuated vaccines were not permitted. DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; SRI, safety run-in; tec, teclistamab.

MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Efficacy

Median follow-up of 13.8 months

- 92.3% ORR (80.8% ≥CR); all patients achieved ≥VGPR
- No disease progressions occurred



Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

CR, complete response; DR, dara tumuma b and lenalidomide; ORR, overall response rate; PR, partial response; sCR, stringent complete response; SRI, safety run-in; tec, teclistamab; VGPR, very good partial response.

MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Efficacy

Median follow-up of 13.8 months

 Median time to first and best response was 1.0 month (range, 0.9–4.6) and 6.5 months (range, 1.0–12.1), respectively

Reduction in disease burden from baseline to post cycle 1



Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024. DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; SRI, safety run-in; tec, teclistamab; tec, teclistamab.



MajesTEC-7 (Tec-DR) SRI Cohort 1: Progression-free Survival

- At median follow-up of 13.8 months, one PFS event has occurred
- Estimated 12-month DOR and PFS were 100.0% and 96.2%, respectively



Data cut-off date: March 18, 2024.

MRD analyses were not performed in the safety run-in cohorts.

DOR, duration of response; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; MRD, minimal residual disease; PFS, progression-free survival; SRI, safety run-in; tec, teclistamab.

12

MajesTEC-7: Conclusions

- Tec-DR with lenalidomide initiated in cycle 2 (after step-up schedule) demonstrated a manageable safety profile and promising efficacy at a median follow-up of 13.8 months in the SRI period
 - ORR was 92.3% (80.8% ≥CR; 92.3% ≥VGPR)
 - No disease progressions occurred
 - Infections occurred in all patients (grade 3/4, 30.8%), with onset most common during cycles 1–3
 - 23 of 26 patients remain on treatment
- A debulking strategy to reduce CRS with 1 lead-in^a cycle of DRd was employed in SRI cohorts 2 and 3 and resulted in a suboptimal safety profile; the randomized part of MajesTEC-7 is moving forward without use of a DRd lead-in^a cycle
- MajesTEC-7 randomization is proceeding with lenalidomide initiated in cycle 2 as informed by the SRI cohorts

^aDRd lead-in (dara SC 1800 mg QW; len oral 25 mg on days 1-21; dex oral/IV 20 mg QW) in cycle 1; Tec-DR or Tal-DR started in cycle 2. CR, complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; dara, daratumumab; dex, dexamethasone; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, dexamethasone; IV, intravenous; len, lenalidomide; ORR, overall response rate; QW, weekly; SC, subcutaneous; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talquetamab; tec, tedistamab; VGPR, very good partial response.



MajesTEC-7: Phase 3 Design

Key eligibility criteria:

- NDMM either ineligible or not intended for ASCT
- ECOG PS status 0–2



Dual primary endpoints:PFS

• 12-mo MRD-neg CR

Secondary endpoints:

- ≥CR
- OS
- Sustained MRD-neg CR
- MRD-neg CR
- PFS2
- Safety
- PROs
- PK
- ≥VGPR

Randomized part of the study is proceeding with lenalidomide initiated in cycle 2 as informed by the SRI cohorts



ASCT, a utologous stem cell transplant; CR, complete response; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; DRd, daratumumab, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; len, lenalidomide; MRD, minimal residual disease; NDMM, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; neg, negative; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival PFS2; progression-free survival as time from randomization to first PFS event on first subsequent li therapy; PK, pharmacokinetics; PRO, patient-reported outcome; SRI, safety run-in; tal, talquetamab; tec, teclistamab; VGPR, very good partial response.

Acknowledgments

- We thank the patients who are participating in this study and their caregivers, the physicians and nurses who care for them, the staff at study sites, and the staff involved in data collection and analyses
- This study was funded by Janssen Research & Development, LLC
- Medical writing support was provided by Eloquent Scientific Solutions, and funded by Janssen Global Services, LLC



Phase 1b Data on Tec-R Combinations in RRMM: MajesTEC-2 Study

	Cycle 1	Cycle 2+	
Tec-R	Tec step-up	Tec + R	
Tec-DR	Tec step-up + Dara	Tec + Dara + R	

R was initiated in cycle 2 to minimize potential interactions during CRS risk window^a

Tec-R in ≥2 prior LOT (N=31; mFU, 10.8 mo)¹

- ORR 74.2% (≥CR 35.5%)
- 1 grade 3 CRS event
- 45.2% grade 3/4 infections
- 2 infectious deaths (COVID-19, sepsis)

Tec-DR in 1–3 prior LOT (N=32; mFU, 8.4 mo)²

- ORR 93.5% (≥CR 54.8%)
- No grade 3 or 4 CRS events
- 37.5% grade 3/4 infections
- 2 infectious deaths (COVID-19, sepsis)



^aTalquetamab combination studies with lenalidomide follow similar dosing schedules.

CR, complete response; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; dara, daratumumab; DR, daratumumab and lenalidomide; LOT, line of the rapy; mo, months; ORR, overall response rate; R, lenalidomide; RRMM, relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma; tec, teclistamab.

1. Tan C, et al. Presented at EHA 2023. Poster #P865. 2. Searle E, et al. Presented at ASH 2022. Oral #160.