SUSTAIN-3 is an open-label study with no control

The majority of patients included in this analysis had

This is a subgroup analysis of the study population,

The generalizability of these findings may be limited by

the exclusion of patients with significant psychiatric or

medical comorbidities or substance dependence and by

potential bias related to which patients chose to continue

(or not to continue) from the parent study into this study

This analysis does not include characterization of

This analysis reported time to first achievement of

that patients maintained these achievements after

For patients who do not achieve full response to ESK

after 28 days of induction therapy, many will achieve

or clinically substantial improvement by week 52 of

For IND nonresponders, the median time to

responders, the median time to clinically

was 11 days into maintenance therapy

likely to achieve response, remission, clinically

IND (day 28) partial responders appear to be more

meaningful improvement, or clinically substantial

improvement than IND nonresponders; however, a

from treatment with ESK up to week 52 of OP/M

In general, rates of TEAEs were similar between IND

nonresponders, IND partial responders, and IND full

The authors thank Laura van Laeren, PhD (ApotheCom, Yardley, PA), for editorial and writing assistance, which

Novartis, SAGE Therapeutics, and Takeda and provided consulting or advisory board support to Alpha Sigma,

ElMindA, Janssen/Johnson & Johnson, Lundbeck, and Takeda. NZ, D-JF, IT, PC, OL, BB, and LL are employee

JZ has received funding from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Cheryl T. Herman Foundation, Compass, ElMindA

Hoffman-La Roche, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Janssen/Johnson & Johnson, LivaNova, Otsuka, Neurocrine

large proportion of IND nonresponders still benefited

substantial change (12-point improvement)

clinically meaningful change in MADRS total

score (6-point improvement) was 50 days into

maintenance therapy whereas, for IND partial

remission, response, clinically meaningful improvement,

week 52 of OP/M

maintenance therapy

Conclusions

certain efficacy outcomes, and it cannot be assumed

the patient population in each subgroup through

participated in a previous ESK induction period

which may limit the interpretation of the results

Limitations

Trajectory of Response and Remission in Induction Nonresponders to Esketamine Nasal Spray: A Subgroup Analysis of the SUSTAIN-3 Study

John Zajecka,¹ Naim Zaki,² Dong-Jing Fu,² Ibrahim Turkoz,² Patricia Cabrera,³ Oliver Lopena,³ Brianne Brown,³ Lisa Lim³

¹Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Janssen Research & Development, LLC, a Johnson & J

Introduction

- The efficacy and safety of esketamine nasal spray (ESK) in adults with treatmentresistant depression was assessed in six phase 3 parent studies, with the majority ranging in duration from 4 weeks up to 1 year¹⁻⁶
- Eligible patients from these studies could continue to receive treatment with ESK by subsequently enrolling in the SUSTAIN-3 study (NCT02782104), an open-label longterm extension study⁷
- Analyses of long-term data from SUSTAIN-3 may inform treatment decisions in real-world clinical practice
- A prior analysis found that patients who had achieved response by day 8 or week 8 showed similar rates of response and remission through the optimization/ maintenance phase (OP/M), with the proportion of patients achieving response and remission remaining stable over time⁸

Objective

 To describe the trajectory of first response and remission in patients who were nonresponders or partial responders to ESK during the 4-week induction (IND) phase of SUSTAIN-3

Study design

- SUSTAIN-3 was a phase 3, open-label, long-term extension study comprised of 2 phases: a 4-week IND and a variable duration OP/M (Figure 1)
- This posthoc subgroup analysis included adults (18-64 years of age) treated with ESK, together with an oral antidepressant (OAD), according to US prescribing information, who entered SUSTAIN-3 IND and continued to OP/M
- Eligibility to participate in SUSTAIN-3 was based on the clinical judgment of the investigator: patients entering IND had completed the induction phase of their parent study but either (a) had not participated in a maintenance phase (TRANSFORM-1, TRANSFORM-2), (b) had relapsed in the maintenance phase (SUSTAIN-1), or (c) were nonresponders or otherwise not eligible for the maintenance phase (TRD-3006, SUSTAIN-1, SUSTAIN-2)
- The majority of patients included in this analysis were enrolled from TRANSFORM-1 (28.6%), TRANSFORM-2 (9.9%), and SUSTAIN-1 (57.5%)
- In addition to an OAD, patients received ESK 56 or 84 mg twice weekly during IND and flexible ESK dosing during OP/M

FIGURE 1. Sample selection

Patients from TRANSFORM-1. TRANSFORM-2. TRANSFORM-3,^a SUSTAIN-1, SUSTAIN-2, TRD-3006

₩
OL flexibly-dosed ^b ESK (56 or 84 mg; twice per wee
IND

OL flexibly-dosed^b ESK 56 or 84 mg; variable frequency OP/M

ESK, esketamine nasal spray: IND, induction phase: OL, open-label: OP/M, optimization/maintenance phase. This subgroup analysis only included patients who participated in IND and continued into OP/M. ^aResults from the TRANSFORM-3 study (patients aged ≥65 years) were not included in this subgroup analysis.

^cDosing frequency during OP/M could be weekly, every other week, or every 4 weeks.

- Clinician-reported disease severity was evaluated by the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)
- Response was defined as ≥50% improvement from baseline in MADRS total score and remission was defined as achieving MADRS total score ≤12

and 12-point improvement in MADRS total score relative to baseline, respectively

- Clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change was defined as a 6-point improvement
- Cohorts were defined by their response status at end of IND, determined by improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to end of IND (day 28 of ESK
- IND full responders had ≥50% improvement

treatment) as follows:

^bBased on Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale score and tolerability.

- IND partial responders had ≥25% to <50% improvement
- IND nonresponders had <25% improvement

 Time to first response, first remission, and clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change were based on Kaplan-Meier product limit estimates

Results

Baseline characteristics

- This subgroup analysis included 405 patients: of these, 94 were IND nonresponders, 93 were IND partial responders, and 218 were IND full responders
- Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1
- Median duration of exposure to ESK was 43.8 months (range, 0-72) for IND nonresponders, 41.8 months (0-72) for IND partial responders and 48.1 months (0-78) for IND full responders

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics

	nonresponders (n = 94)	responders (n = 93)	responders (n = 218)
Mean age (SD), years	49.0 (10.7)	45.0 (11.2)	46.3 (10.5)
Female, n (%)	57 (60.6)	63 (67.7)	163 (74.8)
Race, n (%)			
Asian	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	3 (1.4)
Black or African American	6 (6.4)	6 (6.5)	9 (4.1)
White	76 (80.9)	81 (87.1)	184 (84.4)
Other	4 (4.3)	1 (1.1)	12 (5.5)
Multiple	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	2 (0.9)
Not reported	6 (6.4)	3 (3.2)	7 (3.2)
Ethnicity, n (%)			
Hispanic/Latino	12 (12.8)	15 (16.1)	33 (15.1)
Not Hispanic/Latino	78 (83.0)	75 (80.6)	177 (81.2)
Not reported	4 (4.3)	3 (3.2)	7 (3.2)
Age when diagnosed with MDD, mean (SD), years ^a	34.9 (12.8)	30.1 (12.8)	31.9 (12.1)
Duration of current episode, mean (SD), weeks ^a	180.0 (219.6)	164.6 (198.9)	181.8 (302.6)
Baseline MADRS total score, mean (SD) ^b	26.1 (8.9)	30.2 (7.3)	30.0 (7.5)
Baseline PHQ-9 total score, mean (SD) ^b	14.3 (5.8)	16.6 (5.3)	15.2 (5.4)
Baseline CGI-S, mean (SD) ^b	4.3 (1.0)	4.6 (0.8)	4.6 (0.8)
Parent study, n (%)			
TRANSFORM-1	41 (43.6)	29 (31.2)	46 (21.1)
TRANSFORM-2	6 (6.4)	9 (9.7)	25 (11.5)
SUSTAIN-1	44 (46.8)	48 (51.6)	141 (64.7)
SUSTAIN-2	0	2 (2.2)	2 (0.9)
TRD-3006	3 (3.2)	5 (5.4)	4 (1.8)

CGI-S, Clinical Global Impressions Scale-Severity; IND, induction phase; MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MDD, major depressive disorder: PHQ-9. 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire. IND nonresponders, <25% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase; IND partial responders, ≥25% to <50% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase; IND full responders, ≥50% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase.

^bData from SUSTAIN-3. If the baseline was missing from SUSTAIN-3, the last record from the parent study was used as baseline.

• At week 52 of OP/M (week 60 of ESK treatment), mean (SD) change in MADRS total score from IND baseline in IND nonresponders, IND partial responders, and IND full responders was -6.5 (10.95), -11.4 (8.37), and -14.7 (10.36), respectively

Time to first clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change in MADRS

- Median time to first clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change in MADRS total score for IND nonresponders was 50 (95% CI: 15.0, 51.0) days and 134.0 (81.0, not estimatable [NE]) days into OP/M, respectively (Table 2)
- Median time to first clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change for IND partial responders was 1.0 (95% CI: NE, NE) days and 11.0 (1.0, 16.0) days into OP/M, respectively (Table 2)
- Kaplan-Meier plots of time to first clinically meaningful and clinically substantial change are shown in Figure 2

Time to first response and remission

IND full

IND partial

- Median time to first response and remission for IND nonresponders was 190.0 (95% CI: 135.0, NE) days and 192.0 (135.0, NE) days into OP/M, respectively (**Table 2**) Median time to first response and remission for IND partial responders was 78.0
- (95% CI: 50.0, 106.0) days and 163.0 (78.0, 275.0) days into OP/M, respectively

Kaplan-Meier plots of time to first response and remission are shown in **Figure 3**

TABLE 2. Time to first achievement of various efficacy outcomes up to week 52 of OP/Ma

	IND nonresponders (n = 94)	IND partial responders (n = 93)
Time to first clinically meaningful o	change ^b	
Number with improvement (%)	76 (80.9)	91 (97.8)
Number censored (%)	18 (19.1)	2 (2.2)
25% quartile, days (95% CI)	14.0 (1.0, 15.0)	1.0 (NE, NE)
Median, days (95% CI)	50 (15.0, 51.0)	1.0 (NE, NE)
Time to first clinically substantial o	change ^c	
Number with improvement (%)	50 (53.2)	82 (88.2)
Number censored (%)	44 (46.8)	11 (11.8)
25% quartile, days (95% CI)	51.0 (17.0, 78.0)	1.0 (NE, NE)
Median, days (95% CI)	134.0 (81.0, NE)	11.0 (1.0, 16.0)
Time to first responsed		
Number with response (%)	47 (50.0)	73 (78.5)
Number censored (%)	47 (50.0)	20 (21.5)
25% quartile, days (95% CI)	55.0 (48.0, 108.0)	17.0 (15.0, 49.0)
Median, days (95% CI)	190.0 (135.0, NE)	78.0 (50.0, 106.0)
Time to first remission ^e		
Number with remission (%)	50 (53.2)	56 (60.2)
Number censored (%)	44 (46.8)	37 (39.8)
25% quartile, days (95% CI)	50.0 (16.0, 106.0)	47.0 (15.0, 52.0)
Median, days (95% CI)	192.0 (135.0, NE)	163.0 (78.0, 275.0

optimization and maintenance phase

Clinically meaningful change was defined as a 6-point improvement in MADRS total score from IND baseline. ^cClinically substantial change was defined as a 12-point improvement in MADRS total score from IND baseline. dResponse is defined as ≥50% improvement from baseline in MADRS total score. ^eRemission is defined as MADRS total score ≤12.

^aBased on Kaplan-Meier product limit estimates.

FIGURE 2. Kaplan-Meier plot of time to first (A) clinically meaningful change and

IND Nonresponse 94 45 32 22 19 19 15 13 12 11 11 10 9 9

— IND Nonresponse — IND Partial Response

IND nonresponders. <25% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase; IND partial responders,

Vertical dotted lines highlight the median time to clinically meaningful change or clinically substantial change for each patient group.

FIGURE 3. Kaplan-Meier plot of time to first (A) response and (B) remission up to

0 4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 52

Week of OP/M

4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 52

IND Nonresponse 94 77 63 58 54 48 42 39 39 37 37 35 33 32

IND Nonresponse 94 73 61 56 52 48 42 39 37 33 32 31 30 29

IND Partial Response 93 68 57 49 48 43 41 40 37 35 31 28 27 27

— IND Nonresponse — ■ IND Partial Response

IND nonresponders, <25% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase; IND partial responders

IND, induction phase; MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; OP/M, optimization and maintenance phase,

≥25% to <50% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase.

Vertical dotted lines highlight the median time to response or remission for each patient group.

^aResponse is defined as ≥50% improvement from baseline in MADRS total score.

^bRemission is defined as MADRS total score ≤12.

IND Partial Response 93 61 47 39 31 24 22 20 18 16 13 12 12 12

IND. induction phase: MADRS. Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; OP/M, optimization and maintenance phase

Clinically meaningful change was defined as a 6-point improvement in MADRS total score from IND baseline.

Clinically substantial change was defined as a 12-point improvement in MADRS total score from IND baseline

5% to <50% improvement in MADRS total score from baseline to day 28 of induction phase

week 52 of OP/M

4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 52

) 4 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 52

(B) clinically substantial change in MADRS total score up to week 52 of OP/M

Results from this subgroup analysis were consistent with the established safety and tolerability profile of ESK, with no new safety signals identified⁷

The most common treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) (>10% of total population) are shown in **Table 3**

TABLE 3. Most common TEAEs

n (%)	IND nonresponders (n = 94)	IND partial responders (n = 93)	IND full responders (n = 218)
Anxiety	22 (23.4)	15 (16.1)	55 (25.2)
Arthralgia	18 (19.1)	14 (15.1)	35 (16.1)
Back pain	23 (24.5)	21 (22.6)	36 (16.5)
Blood pressure increased	25 (26.6)	12 (12.9)	30 (13.8)
Cough	14 (14.9)	17 (18.3)	21 (9.6)
COVID-19	5 (5.3)	12 (12.9)	29 (13.3)
Diarrhea	17 (18.1)	18 (19.4)	30 (13.8)
Dissociation	27 (28.7)	27 (29.0)	68 (31.2)
Dizziness	31 (33.0)	36 (38.7)	59 (27.1)
Dysgeusia	29 (30.9)	24 (25.8)	52 (23.9)
Fatigue	21 (22.3)	12 (12.9)	34 (15.6)
Headache	39 (41.5)	39 (41.9)	83 (38.1)
Hypoesthesia	10 (10.6)	15 (16.1)	25 (11.5)
Hypoesthesia oral	12 (12.8)	11 (11.8)	22 (10.1)
Influenza	12 (12.8)	12 (12.9)	23 (10.6)
Insomnia	13 (13.8)	14 (15.1)	27 (12.4)
Nasal discomfort	13 (13.8)	9 (9.7)	29 (13.3)
Nasopharyngitis	30 (31.9)	20 (21.5)	48 (22.0)
Nausea	37 (39.4)	37 (39.8)	72 (33.0)
Oropharyngeal pain	15 (16.0)	8 (8.6)	28 (12.8)
Somnolence	25 (26.6)	21 (22.6)	43 (19.7)
Upper respiratory tract infection	5 (5.3)	11 (11.8)	26 (11.9)
Urinary tract infection	9 (9.6)	14 (15.1)	32 (14.7)
Vertigo	28 (29.8)	20 (21.5)	50 (22.9)
Vision blurred	8 (8.5)	12 (12.9)	30 (13.8)
Vomiting	20 (21.3)	14 (15.1)	33 (15.1)

(%)	IND nonresponders (n = 94)	IND partial responders (n = 93)	IND full responders (n = 218)
nxiety	22 (23.4)	15 (16.1)	55 (25.2)
thralgia	18 (19.1)	14 (15.1)	35 (16.1)
ack pain	23 (24.5)	21 (22.6)	36 (16.5)
ood pressure increased	25 (26.6)	12 (12.9)	30 (13.8)
ough	14 (14.9)	17 (18.3)	21 (9.6)
OVID-19	5 (5.3)	12 (12.9)	29 (13.3)
arrhea	17 (18.1)	18 (19.4)	30 (13.8)
ssociation	27 (28.7)	27 (29.0)	68 (31.2)
zziness	31 (33.0)	36 (38.7)	59 (27.1)
/sgeusia	29 (30.9)	24 (25.8)	52 (23.9)
tigue	21 (22.3)	12 (12.9)	34 (15.6)
eadache	39 (41.5)	39 (41.9)	83 (38.1)
/poesthesia	10 (10.6)	15 (16.1)	25 (11.5)
poesthesia oral	12 (12.8)	11 (11.8)	22 (10.1)
fluenza	12 (12.8)	12 (12.9)	23 (10.6)
somnia	13 (13.8)	14 (15.1)	27 (12.4)
asal discomfort	13 (13.8)	9 (9.7)	29 (13.3)
asopharyngitis	30 (31.9)	20 (21.5)	48 (22.0)
ausea	37 (39.4)	37 (39.8)	72 (33.0)
opharyngeal pain	15 (16.0)	8 (8.6)	28 (12.8)
omnolence	25 (26.6)	21 (22.6)	43 (19.7)
oper respiratory tract fection	5 (5.3)	11 (11.8)	26 (11.9)
inary tract infection	9 (9.6)	14 (15.1)	32 (14.7)
ertigo	28 (29.8)	20 (21.5)	50 (22.9)
sion blurred	8 (8.5)	12 (12.9)	30 (13.8)
miting	20 (21.3)	14 (15.1)	33 (15.1)

Novel Pathways in Depression

was funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a Johnson & Johnson company.

of Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, and stockholders of Johnson & Johnson, Inc.





Acknowledgements

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

This study was funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a Johnson & Johnson company.