Real-World Change in Depressive Symptoms Among Patients With Treatment-Resistant Depression Initiated on Esketamine Nasal Spray

Kristin Clemens,¹ Maryia Zhdanava,² Amanda Teeple,³ Arthur Voegel,² Anabelle Tardif-Samson,² Fengyi Jiang,² Dominic Pilon,² Kruti Joshi³

¹Right Solutions Mental Health, LLC, Gaithersburg, MD, USA; ²Analysis Group, Inc., Montréal, QC, Canada; ³Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a Johnson & Johnson company, Titusville, NJ, USA

Introduction

- Esketamine nasal spray (ESK), approved for treatment-resistant depression (TRD) in the United States (US) on March 5, 2019, has demonstrated efficacy and safety in multicenter, randomized, phase 3 trials^{1,2}
- Real-world evidence of ESK effectiveness remains limited

Objective

• To evaluate the real-world effectiveness of ESK among US patients with TRD using health insurance claims data augmented with 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) scores

Methods

Data source

- Closed claims from Komodo Research Database and PHQ-9 scores from Komodo Clinical Observations Database were used during January 2016 to June 2023
- Data was de-identified and complied with requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

Study design

- A retrospective observational design was used
- The intake period spanned from March 5, 2019 (ESK approval date for TRD in the US) to end of data; the index date was the date of ESK initiation
- The baseline period was the 12 months before the index date
- The follow-up period spanned the index date until the end of continuous insurance eligibility or data availability

Study population

- ≥1 MDD diagnosis (International Classification of Disease, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-10-CM]: F32.X [excluding F32.A and F32.8], F33.X [excluding F33.8])
- Initiation of ESK during the intake period
- Evidence of TRD before the index date (i.e., ≥ 2 unique antidepressants of adequate dose and duration during the major depressive episode in which ESK was initiated)
- ≥18 years old on the index date
- ≥12 months of continuous insurance eligibility before the index date
- ≥1 PHQ-9 score during the baseline period or on the index date (i.e., baseline score) and during the follow-up period up to 30 days after the last ESK claim (i.e., score while on ESK treatment)

Subgroup

 Patients with baseline PHQ-9 ≥10 indicating moderate-to-severe depression were analyzed separately

Outcome measures

- PHQ-9 is a patient-reported tool with a recall of 2 weeks; the score ranges from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating higher severity³
- Depression severity was measured using most recent baseline PHQ-9 score (mean [median] time to index date: 78.5 [30] days) and the most recent score while on ESK treatment (mean [median] time to last treatment session: 91.0 [24] days) as follows:
- Mean change in PHQ-9 score
- Proportion of patients with moderately severe or severe depression (PHQ-9 score \geq 15) • Time to substantial clinical improvement (mean reduction in score of ≥ 6 points among
- those with baseline PHQ-9 score of ≥ 6 points)⁴ in the follow-up period

Statistical analysis

- Generalized estimating equations adjusted for repeated measurements of PHQ-9 score for the same patient were used to estimate mean change in PHQ-9 score and compare proportions of patients with moderately severe or severe depression in follow-up versus baseline periods
- Time to substantial clinical improvement was described using Kaplan-Meier analysis

Results

TABLE 1: Patient baseline characteristics

Mean ± SE [median] o

Age at index

Female

Insurance p

Commerc

Medicaid

Medicare

Unknown

Year of inde

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

DSM-5 com

Anxiety c

Sleep-wa

Physical cor

Hyperter

Obesity

Demographics and baseline characteristics

in Table 1

Change in depression severity

- with baseline PHQ-9 ≥10
- From baseline to follow-up:

n (%)	ESK cohort N = 103	Subgroup with baseline PHQ-9 ≥10 N = 80
x date (years)	41.5 ± 14.2 [38.0]	41.0 ± 14.3 [37.0]
	67 (65.0)	53 (66.3)
olan		
ial	71 (68.9)	54 (67.5)
	21 (20.4)	18 (22.5)
Advantage	8 (7.8)	5 (6.3)
	3 (2.9)	3 (3.8)
ex date		
	5 (4.9)	3 (3.8)
	12 (11.7)	11 (13.8)
	36 (35.0)	27 (33.8)
	35 (34.0)	26 (32.5)
	15 (14.6)	13 (16.3)
norbidities		
isorders	87 (84.5)	69 (86.3)
ke disorders	52 (50.5)	43 (53.8)
morbidities		
sion	36 (35.0)	28 (35.0)
	32 (31.1)	27 (33.8)

DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition; ESK, esketamine nasal spray; SD, standard deviation.

 A total of 103 patients were identified in the ESK cohort, among who 80 had baseline PHQ-9 \geq 10. Baseline characteristics of these patients are reported

Mean duration of follow-up period was 17.0 months in the ESK cohort and 15.7 months in the subgroup with baseline PHQ-9 \geq 10

Mean number of ESK sessions was 19.7 in the ESK cohort and 21.7 in the subgroup

Mean PHQ-9 score decreased by statistically significant 3.93 points in the esketamine cohort and by 5.36 points in the subgroup (all P < 0.001; Figure 1)



CI, confidence interval; ESK, esketamine nasal spray; PHQ-9, 9-item Patient-Health Questionnaire.

- Odds of reporting moderately severe or severe depression were significantly reduced (by 65% in the esketamine cohort and by 74% in the subgroup, all *P* < 0.001; **Figure 2**)
- Mean decrease in PHQ-9 score appeared larger in patients completing ESK induction, i.e., the first 8 treatment sessions (**Figure 3**)

Time to substantial clinical improvement

• The probability of achieving substantial clinical improvement 12 months post-index was 71.0% in the ESK cohort and 81.8% in the subgroup with baseline PHQ-9 \geq 10 (Figure 4)

FIGURE 3: Mean change from baseline in PHQ-9 score by number of ESK sessions completed -3.5 -4.6 -4.2 Subgroup with baseline PHQ-9 \ge 10

9-16 sessions 1-8 sessions N cohort = 23 N cohort = 31 N subgroup = 28 N subgroup = 14

ESK, esketamine nasal spray; PHQ-9, 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire

FIGURE 4: Time to substantial clinical improvement



Limitations



PHQ-9 scores are patient-reported and subject to bias; further, PHQ-9 score measures were limited, and time between the most recent score and the last treatment session exceeded 2 weeks in some patients, potentially rendering results conservative

32



TRD was identified based on pharmacy claims; pharmacy claims do not guarantee that the medication dispensed was taken as prescribed



Results may not be generalizable to patients without health insurance

Conclusions



ESK cohort

-5.6

-4.3

17 or more sessions

N cohort = 49

N subgroup = 38

ESK cohort

12 months

10 (10.9)

5 (6.3)

Initiation of ESK was associated with significant clinical benefits among patients with TRD, which is consistent with evidence from clinical trials



Patients, on average, reached clinically meaningful reduction in depressive symptoms (\geq 3 points) and more than two-thirds achieved substantial clinical improvement (≥ 6 points) within 12 months after initiating ESK



Clinical benefits appeared larger in patients completing ESK induction, as well as in patients with baseline PHQ-9 score indicating moderate-to-severe depression

Disclosures

KC has served on an advisory board for Janssen Pharmaceuticals. MZ. DP. AV. ATS, and FJ are employees of Analysis Group, Inc., a consulting company that has provided paid consulting services to Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a lohnson & Johnson company, which funded the development and conduction of this study. AT and KJ are employed of Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a Johnson & Johnson company, and stockholders of Johnson & Johnson.

Novel Pathways in Depression





Scan the QR code

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

Supported by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC, a Johnson & Johnson company